

## **Transportation Department**

2525 13th Street, Suite 203 • Boulder, Colorado 80304 • Tel: 303.441.3900 • Fax: 303.441.4594 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 471 • Boulder, Colorado 80306 • www.bouldercounty.org

Subdivision Paving Local Improvement District Advisory Committee Minutes- March 6, 2014

Present: Bob Schuetze, Peter King Smith, Vince Hirsch, Kim Hedberg, Dick Piland, Jeff

Wagener, Charles Wibby (phone), Bob Loveman, Richard Blanchette

Not present: none

County Staff: Mike Cates, Mike Thomas, Michelle Krezek, Barb Halpin

Guests: Approximately 8 members from the public

- 1. Meeting was called to order at 5:00 PM
- 2. The committee reviewed the revised ByLaws and accepted them based on formatting revisions (Section Numbers) and including the option for members to vote via telephone when there is a quorum present.
- The Committee stated their desire to have as much public input as possible based on the technology available. The committee agreed to having the public be able to submit comments in-person at meetings or electronically through the website/email.
- 4. The Committee discussed a request from a subdivision in the District, The Reserve, to include their funds already collected into the LID. It was decided that the committee would defer for 60 days any recommendations until they determined if The Reserve was willing to incorporate the HOA funds into the overall LID or if The Reserve was expecting the contribution to the LID to be the final payment.
- 5. Public Comments were taken on the issue of The Reserve. A representative from The Reserve said he would take the request to delay for 60 days any recommendation from the Committee back to The Reserve's Board.
- 6. The Chairman requested Staff provide the Committee the current plans and specifications for the LID as they existed at the time the LID was created.
- 7. The Committee discussed several pieces of information that had been requested by the Committee members. Staff discussed these items and said they would provide what they had but that many of these items do not exist. (See Attached Memo)
- 8. Item Number 7 on the current agenda was tabled and requested to be on the next agenda. This item has to do with the BOCC definition of maintenance and the LID definition of road rehabilitation.
- 9. Future meetings were discussed and the committee agreed to change the meetings dates to the following: March 19, 2014 at 5 p.m. and March 26, 2014 at 5p.m.
- 10. Public Input was received from members of the public.

## Action Items from meeting:

- 1. Staff to finalize By-Laws for next meeting
- 2. Move Presentation regarding maintenance to March 20<sup>th</sup> meeting.
- 3. Staff to send copy of Preliminary Plans prior to next meeting.

## Agenda Items for next meeting:

- 1. Discussion of Policy for the Priorities
- 2. Recommendation for how to spend the County 20% match
- 3. Additional items to be provided by Chairman.

The meeting adjourned at 7:30 PM

February 28, 2014

To: Boulder County Commissioners, Boulder County Transportation, SPLIDAC

From: Vince Hirsch

Subject: Information Request

In order to provide comprehensive review, suggestions, guidance, and oversight for LID activities, the following information is requested:

- 1) Raw, 50-ft segment, vendor-provided, 2012 road condition data by road segment ID, and route name (i.e., AM1) for all paved unincorporated Boulder County subdivision roads to include RCI, IRI, SDI, and PQI in electronic format (i.e., ascii text, csv, or Excel). In addition, provide conversion equation(s) used for obtaining local road PQI. *County Response: If we have this information we will provide.*
- 2) Latest LID detailed cost model spreadsheet(s) to include detailed assumptions, support for assumptions, and basis of estimates (labor, labor rates, materials, equipment) and support for them.
  - County Response: This information was provided to the committee.
- 3) History including description of work, detailed cost and schedule for three examples of recent <u>local</u> road projects (preferably greater than 1 mile, 0.5 mile minimum from a subdivision) consisting one each of the following:
  - (1) Complete Rehabilitation/Re-building
  - (2) Re-paving/Re-surfacing
  - (3) Chip seal

County Response: We have not resurfaced or reconstructed a subdivision road since the early 1990's and thus do not have this information.

- 4) A list of all subdivision roads known by the County to have sustained damage in Sept. 2013, a summary of the following:
  - a. Emergency repairs performed and current status
  - b. Planned repairs
  - c. Discussions with FEMA regarding subdivision roads and cost re-imbursement.
  - d. Assessment of impact to LID cost

County Response: The County is working on the Flood Related roads and as the LID is concerned the flood did not vastly impact the subdivision in the LID and each Subdivision and Flood funds will be evaluated by the County and shouldn't be an impact on the funding or revenue of the LID.

- 5) A list of subdivision roads that the County recognizes as safety concerns for fire protection equipment, EMS, and school buses that require near-term repair. County Response: The County does not have a list of roads recognized as safety concerns in any regard.
- 6) A list of subdivision roads that the County recognizes as failed.

  County Response: The County does not have a list of failed subdivision roads, what it does have and has already provided is the list of subdivision roads and their PQI Category.
- 7) An estimate of the budget and manpower available for subdivision road crack and pot hole filling for this year 2014. This is important to know to couple with a strategy of maximizing the preservation of as many roads as possible.

  County Response: The County budget for maintenance is not broken down into this specific amount or even by road category.